## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/560,902	STENZEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LIAM HEINCER	1767	

	LIAM HEINGER	1/6/		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 27 June 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later.  no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (5), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TO				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TW	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date in have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued or 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 June 2011</u>. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or ar Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENIANTS.</li> </ol>	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal	
AMENDMENTS				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a final rejection rejection.</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying the	ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		be entered and an ex	xplanation of	
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail: e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
/Mark Eashoo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1767				